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## Methodology

The survey findings presented in this release are based on the results of interviews conducted May 3-9, 2010. The interviews were conducted at the Center for Opinion Research at Franklin \& Marshall College under the direction of the poll’s Director Dr. G. Terry Madonna, Head Methodologist Berwood Yost, and Project Manager Angela Knittle. The data included in this release represent the responses of 1023 adult residents of Pennsylvania, including 861 registered adults (404 Democrats, 321 Republicans, 117 registered as Independent/Other, and 19 who refused to identify party). Telephone numbers for the survey were generated using random digit dialing, and respondents were randomly selected from within each household. Survey results were weighted (age, education, race, region, and gender) using an iterative weighting algorithm.

The sample error for this survey is +/- 3.1 percentage points. The sample error for registered adults is +/- 3.3 percentage points and is slightly higher for registered Democrats (+/- 4.9 percentage points) and registered Republicans (+/- 5.5
percentage points). The subsample of Democratic likely voters has a sample error of +/- 7.9 percentage points.

In addition to sampling error, this poll is also subject to other sources of nonsampling error. Generally speaking, two sources of error concern researchers most. Non-response bias is created when selected participants either choose not to participate in the survey or are unavailable for interviewing. Response errors are the product of the question and answer process. Surveys that rely on self-reported behaviors and attitudes are susceptible to biases related to the way respondents process and respond to survey questions.

The Franklin \& Marshall College Poll is produced in conjunction with the Philadelphia Daily News, WGAL-TV (South Central PA), Pittsburgh Tribune Review, WTAE-TV (Pittsburgh), WPVI-TV6/ABC (Philadelphia), Times-Shamrock Newspapers, Harrisburg Patriot-News, and Lancaster Newspapers. It may be used in whole or in part, provided any use is attributed to Franklin \& Marshall College.

## Key Findings

The latest Franklin and Marshall College Poll of Pennsylvania voters finds the race between Arlen Specter and Joe Sestak for the Democratic US senate nomination has tightened considerably. While clear front-runners have emerged in the remaining primary races for senate and governor, the poll also finds that many voters have yet to make up their minds about those races.

## The Democratic US Senate Primary

The May Franklin and Marshall College Poll shows Joe Sestak with a narrow advantage over incumbent Senator Arlen Specter among those Democrats who are most likely to vote, $38 \%$ to $36 \%$, with about one in four likely voters still undecided. When undecided voters who are leaning toward a candidate are allocated, the pool of truly undecided voters is about $15 \%$. Among likely voters, Sestak has a decided advantage among men, younger voters, whites, and those currently working full-time (see Table A-1).

The poll shows that Sestak holds a larger advantage as the pool of voters gets smaller—meaning lower turnout favors his candidacy. Specter leads Sestak among all registered Democrats, 38\% to 29\%. Representative Sestak's support among all registered Democrats has more than doubled since March when Specter led 32\% to $12 \%$.

In addition to the different outcomes that may arise based on different levels of turnout, Specter's voters are a bit more uncertain about their preference; more of Specter's voters are still making up their minds about their choice. Three quarters
(73\%) of Specter's voters say they are "certain" to vote for him while almost nine in ten (88\%) Sestak voters say they are "certain" to vote for him.

Sestak’s name identification has improved among Democrats since March, rising from 12\% favorable and 75\% undecided to $25 \%$ favorable and 50\% undecided. Specter’s favorability and job approval ratings among Democrats have held constant since March.

This race will be determined by Specter's ability to hold off Sestak’s late surge. The challenger has improved his recognition and image among Democratic voters and the incumbent, who is far better known, has little room to grow in attracting new supporters. The key to a Specter victory will arise from his ability to cast doubts on Sestak's credentials and to create a well-organized election-day turnout machine. Sestak must continue to build on the momentum he has established as a viable alternative to the incumbent.

The winner of the Democratic primary, whether Specter or Sestak, fares well in a hypothetical match up with the front runner in the Republican Senate primary, Pat Toomey (see Figure 1).

Figure 1. 2010 Pennsylvania U.S. Senate Election Preferences
If the 2010 election for U.S. SENATOR were being held today and the candidates included (rotated) Arlen Specter/Joe Sestak, the Democrat, and Pat Toomey, the Republican, would you vote for Arlen Specter/Joe Sestak, Pat Toomey, some other candidate, or aren't you sure how you would vote?


## Other Pennsylvania Primary Races

None of the other primary races has generated the same amount of attention and enthusiasm as the Democratic Senate primary. In each of these three races, a sizable proportion of undecided voters persist. Even with the large proportion of undecided voters in each race, a clear front runner has emerged for each office. Dan Onorato has increased his share of the vote since March and has a clear advantage in the Democratic Gubernatorial primary. Tom Corbett's share of the vote has remained consistent since past polls, and he continues to have a clear advantage over Sam Rohrer in the Republican Gubernatorial primary, although Rohrer's share of the vote has increased since March (see Table 2). Finally, in the Republican Senate primary, Pat Toomey holds a sizable lead over Peg Luksik, $28 \%$ to $1 \%$, with the majority of voters still undecided about their choice (69\%). Preferences in the Republican Senate primary have changed little since March (March: Toomey 30\%, Luksik 4\%; May: Toomey 28\%, Luksik 4\%).

Table 2. Pennsylvania Gubernatorial Primary Preferences

> If the 2010 Democratic/Republican primary election for GOVERNOR were being held today and the candidates included (rotated) [fill candidates], would you vote for [fill candidates], or aren't you sure how vou would vote?

|  | Jan 2010 | Feb 2010 | Mar 2010 | May 2010 |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Democrats ( $\boldsymbol{n}=$ 404) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dan Onorato | $10 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $(34 \%)$ |
| Jack Wagner | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $(8 \%)$ |
| Joe Hoeffel | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $(5 \%)$ |
| Anthony Williams | - | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $(6 \%)$ |
| Other | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $(3 \%)$ |
| Don't know | $72 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $(44 \%)$ |
| Republicans ( $\boldsymbol{n}=321$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tom Corbett | $23 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $(33 \%)$ |
| Sam Rohrer | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $(10 \%)$ |
| Other | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $(2 \%)$ |
| Don't know | $69 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $(55 \%)$ |
| Note: numbers in parentheses for May 2010 represent likely voters |  |  |  |  |  |

## About the Likely Voter Model

Likely voters are those respondents who report they are "certain" to vote in the upcoming election and to also report "always" voting in primary elections. This model predicts a turnout of $38 \%$ among Democrats and 42\% among Republicans.

Table 2 shows the Democratic primary turnout over the past nine elections. The average Democratic primary turnout is $30 \%$, with a high of $55 \%$ in 2008 and a low of $15 \%$ in 1998.

Table 2. Turnout among Democrats

| Year | Democratic <br> Primary <br> Turnout |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | $47 \%$ |
| 1994 | $38 \%$ |
| 1996 | $23 \%$ |
| 1998 | $15 \%$ |
| 2000 | $20 \%$ |
| 2002 | $32 \%$ |
| 2004 | $21 \%$ |
| 2006 | $19 \%$ |
| 2008 | $55 \%$ |
| Average | $30 \%$ |

## Table A-1

If the 2010 Democratic primary election for U.S. SENATOR were being held today and the candidates included (rotated) Arlen Specter and Joe Sestak, would you vote for Arlen Specter, Joe Sestak, or aren't you sure how you would vote?

|  | Specter | Sestak | Other | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender* |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 41\% | 23\% | 1\% | 34\% |
| Male | 29\% | 54\% | 2\% | 14\% |
| Age* |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | 11\% | 37\% | 0\% | 52\% |
| 35-54 | 37\% | 38\% | 3\% | 23\% |
| 55 and over | 44\% | 38\% | 1\% | 18\% |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| High school or less | 42\% | 32\% | 2\% | 24\% |
| Some college | 37\% | 49\% | 0\% | 13\% |
| College degree | 25\% | 39\% | 2\% | 35\% |
| Household Income |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$35,000 | 50\% | 29\% | 0\% | 20\% |
| \$35-75,000 | 36\% | 37\% | 2\% | 25\% |
| Over \$75,000 | 18\% | 48\% | 4\% | 31\% |
| Race* |  |  |  |  |
| Non-white | 58\% | 13\% | 0\% | 29\% |
| White | 31\% | 43\% | 2\% | 24\% |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |
| Not currently married | 41\% | 37\% | 1\% | 21\% |
| Single, never married | 40\% | 26\% | 4\% | 31\% |
| Married | 30\% | 45\% | 1\% | 24\% |
| Religious Affiliation |  |  |  |  |
| Other/unaffiliated | 47\% | 23\% | 0\% | 29\% |
| Protestant | 33\% | 38\% | 3\% | 26\% |
| Catholic | 26\% | 52\% | 1\% | 21\% |
| Born Again Christian or Fundamentalist |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 51\% | 31\% | 0\% | 18\% |
| No | 30\% | 40\% | 2\% | 28\% |
| Household Union Member |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 32\% | 37\% | 1\% | 30\% |
| No | 37\% | 38\% | 2\% | 23\% |
| Military Veteran |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 42\% | 53\% | 0\% | 5\% |
| No | 35\% | 35\% | 2\% | 29\% |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Philadelphia/Southeast | 33\% | 32\% | 0\% | 35\% |
| Allegheny/Southwest | 37\% | 42\% | 1\% | 20\% |
| Northeast | 53\% | 39\% | 3\% | 5\% |
| Central | 39\% | 30\% | 6\% | 25\% |
| Northwest | 14\% | 58\% | 0\% | 29\% |
| Employment* |  |  |  |  |
| Fulltime | 23\% | 40\% | 3\% | 34\% |
| Other | 45\% | 29\% | 1\% | 25\% |
| Retired | 52\% | 37\% | 0\% | 11\% |

* Significant differences (p<.05)


## Marginal Frequency Report

RightDir. All in all, do you think things in PENNSYLVANIA are generally headed in the RIGHT DIRECTION, or do you feel that things are off on the WRONG TRACK?

|  | Headed in right direction | Off on the wrong track | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | 35\% | 55\% | 10\% |
| Mar 2010 | 32\% | 58\% | 10\% |
| Feb 2010 | 37\% | 50\% | 13\% |
| Jan 2010 | 39\% | 53\% | 8\% |
| Oct 2009 | 32\% | 60\% | 8\% |
| Aug 2009 | 33\% | 59\% | 8\% |
| Jun 2009 | 44\% | 48\% | 8\% |
| Mar 2009 | 48\% | 41\% | 11\% |
| Feb 2009 | 40\% | 49\% | 11\% |
| Aug 2007 | 47\% | 44\% | 9\% |
| Jun 2007 | 42\% | 45\% | 13\% |
| Feb 2007 | 46\% | 42\% | 12\% |
| Oct 2006* | 47\% | 42\% | 11\% |
| Sep 2006* | 52\% | 36\% | 12\% |
| Aug 2006* | 50\% | 40\% | 10\% |
| Feb 2006 | 45\% | 46\% | 9\% |
| Nov 2005 | 39\% | 52\% | 10\% |
| Sep 2005 | 46\% | 46\% | 8\% |
| Jun 2005 | 43\% | 45\% | 12\% |
| Mar 2005 | 50\% | 44\% | 6\% |
| Oct 2004* | 48\% | 39\% | 13\% |
| Sep 2004* | 46\% | 41\% | 13\% |
| Aug 2004* | 43\% | 40\% | 17\% |
| Mar 2004* | 33\% | 50\% | 18\% |
| Feb 2004 | 37\% | 49\% | 14\% |
| Nov 2003 | 45\% | 45\% | 10\% |
| Apr 2003 | 55\% | 33\% | 12\% |
| Sep 2002* | 52\% | 32\% | 16\% |
| Jun 2002* | 55\% | 32\% | 13\% |
| Jul 1999 | 64\% | 27\% | 9\% |
| Sep 1998* | 67\% | 15\% | 18\% |
| Jul 1998 | 60\% | 26\% | 14\% |
| Mar 1998 | 65\% | 24\% | 11\% |
| Oct 1996 | 49\% | 31\% | 21\% |
| Sep 1996* | 49\% | 34\% | 17\% |
| Jul 1996 | 41\% | 41\% | 18\% |
| Apr 1995 | 52\% | 32\% | 17\% |

*Question asked of registered respondents only

FinToday. We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that YOU and YOUR FAMILY are better off, worse off, or about the same financially as you were a year ago?

|  | Better off | Worse off | About the <br> same | Don't know |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ | $46 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| Mar 2010 | $13 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Feb 2010 | $13 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Jan 2010 | $10 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Oct 2009 | $8 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $9 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Jun 2009 | $11 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Mar 2009 | $11 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Feb 2009 | $10 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Oct 2008* | $14 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sep 2008* | $12 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Aug 2008* | $16 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Feb 2008* | $20 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Jan 2008* | $17 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Nov 2005 | $20 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Sep 2005 | $17 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Jun 2005 | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Mar 2005 | $21 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Nov 2003 | $17 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Apr 2003 | $16 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sep 2002* | $25 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Jun 2002* | $29 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Jul 1999 | $31 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Jul 1998 | $31 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Mar 1998 | $31 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Jul 1996 | $21 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Feb 1996 | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Apr 1995 | $26 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

*Question asked of registered respondents only

FinFut. Now looking AHEAD, do you think that A YEAR FROM NOW, YOU and YOUR FAMILY will be better off financially than you are now, worse off, or about the same as you are now?

|  | Better off | Worse off | About the <br> same | Don't know |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |
| Mar 2010 | $27 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Feb 2010 | $28 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Jan 2010 | $27 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Oct 2009 | $31 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $31 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Jun 2009 | $32 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Mar 2009 | $27 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Feb 2009 | $29 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Oct 2008* | $33 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Sep 2008* | $25 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Aug 2008* | $28 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Nov 2005 | $29 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Sep 2005 | $27 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Jun 2005 | $32 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Mar 2005 | $31 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Nov 2003 | $33 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Apr 2003 | $27 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Sep 2002* | $38 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Jun 2002* | $35 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Jul 1999 | $38 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Jul 1998 | $41 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Mar 1998 | $39 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Jul 1996 | $24 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Feb 1996 | $29 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Apr 1995 | $37 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $7 \%$ |

*Question asked of registered respondents only

REG. Some people are registered to vote, and many others are not. Are you CURRENTLY REGISTERED to vote at your present address?

| 86\% | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| $14 \%$ | No |

RegPARTY. Are you currently registered as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or as something else? (875 registered respondents)

| $37 \%$ | Republican |
| :---: | :--- |
| $47 \%$ | Democrat |
| $11 \%$ | Independent |
| $3 \%$ | Something else |
| $2 \%$ | Don't know |

IntFav. Please let me know your opinion of some people involved in politics today. Is your opinion of [FILL name] favorable, unfavorable, undecided, or haven't you heard enough about [FILL name] to have an opinion? (875 registered respondents) (rotated)

|  | Strongly favorable | Somewhat favorable | Somewhat unfavorable | Strongly unfavorable | Undecided | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ED RENDELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 2010 | 18\% | 23\% | 17\% | 30\% | 8\% | 4\% |
| Mar 2010 | 16\% | 25\% | 13\% | 29\% | 13\% | 4\% |
| Feb 2010 | 16\% | 25\% | 17\% | 27\% | 10\% | 5\% |
| Jan 2010 | 16\% | 26\% | 16\% | 29\% | 9\% | 4\% |
| Oct 2009 | 13\% | 24\% | 17\% | 30\% | 9\% | 7\% |
| Aug 2009 | 13\% | 19\% | 19\% | 34\% | 10\% | 5\% |
| Jun 2009 | 15\% | 27\% | 15\% | 25\% | 11\% | 7\% |
| Feb 2009 | 16\% | 26\% | 16\% | 22\% | 13\% | 7\% |
| ARLEN SPECTER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 2010 | 10\% | 22\% | 14\% | 33\% | 12\% | 9\% |
| Mar 2010 | 9\% | 21\% | 13\% | 32\% | 13\% | 12\% |
| Feb 2010 | 11\% | 21\% | 17\% | 28\% | 15\% | 8\% |
| Jan 2010 | 11\% | 24\% | 14\% | 29\% | 13\% | 9\% |
| Oct 2009 | 8\% | 20\% | 15\% | 31\% | 13\% | 13\% |
| Aug 2009 | 12\% | 23\% | 13\% | 29\% | 13\% | 10\% |
| Jun 2009 | 13\% | 18\% | 11\% | 26\% | 17\% | 15\% |
| Feb 2009 | 14\% | 28\% | 12\% | 17\% | 15\% | 14\% |
| BOB CASEY JR. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 2010 | 10\% | 23\% | 9\% | 11\% | 16\% | 31\% |
| Mar 2010 | 9\% | 24\% | 10\% | 11\% | 17\% | 29\% |
| Feb 2010 | 13\% | 20\% | 9\% | 9\% | 15\% | 34\% |
| Jan 2010 | 9\% | 23\% | 11\% | 9\% | 17\% | 31\% |
| Oct 2009 | 11\% | 21\% | 9\% | 12\% | 15\% | 32\% |
| Aug 2009 | 17\% | 24\% | 10\% | 8\% | 17\% | 24\% |
| Jun 2009 | 11\% | 21\% | 12\% | 5\% | 17\% | 34\% |
| Feb 2009 | 11\% | 19\% | 9\% | 8\% | 21\% | 32\% |
| BARACK OBAMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 2010 | 26\% | 18\% | 12\% | 34\% | 10\% | 0\% |
| Mar 2010 | 25\% | 17\% | 13\% | 33\% | 11\% | 1\% |
| Feb 2010 | 27\% | 22\% | 10\% | 29\% | 11\% | 1\% |
| Jan 2010 | 23\% | 21\% | 11\% | 33\% | 10\% | 2\% |
| Oct 2009 | 29\% | 16\% | 12\% | 27\% | 13\% | 3\% |
| Aug 2009 | 29\% | 26\% | 9\% | 28\% | 7\% | 1\% |
| Jun 2009 | 39\% | 17\% | 6\% | 21\% | 14\% | 3\% |
| Feb 2009 | 38\% | 18\% | 8\% | 15\% | 17\% | 4\% |
| Oct 2008 | 38\% | 15\% | 8\% | 25\% | 12\% | 3\% |
| Sep 2008 | 31\% | 18\% | 9\% | 21\% | 17\% | 3\% |
| Aug 2008 | 25\% | 18\% | 7\% | 22\% | 23\% | 5\% |
| JOE SESTAK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 2010 | 6\% | 11\% | 7\% | 5\% | 16\% | 55\% |
| Mar 2010 | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% | 2\% | 10\% | 73\% |
| Feb 2010 | 4\% | 6\% | 1\% | 2\% | 10\% | 77\% |
| Jan 2010 | 3\% | 5\% | 4\% | 2\% | 10\% | 76\% |
| Oct 2009 | 4\% | 7\% | 3\% | 2\% | 7\% | 77\% |
| Aug 2009 | 3\% | 10\% | 2\% | 2\% | 10\% | 73\% |
| PAT TOOMEY |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Strongly favorable | Somewhat favorable | Somewhat unfavorable | Strongly unfavorable | Undecided | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAT TOOMEY cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 2010 | 6\% | 10\% | 4\% | 5\% | 15\% | 60\% |
| Mar 2010 | 8\% | 10\% | 5\% | 5\% | 13\% | 59\% |
| Feb 2010 | 6\% | 10\% | 2\% | 5\% | 15\% | 62\% |
| Jan 2010 | 6\% | 9\% | 4\% | 3\% | 13\% | 65\% |
| Oct 2009 | 5\% | 11\% | 5\% | 5\% | 10\% | 64\% |
| Aug 2009 | 7\% | 11\% | 4\% | 6\% | 9\% | 63\% |
| TOM CORBETT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 2010 | 7\% | 17\% | 5\% | 5\% | 17\% | 49\% |
| Mar 2010 | 8\% | 14\% | 4\% | 3\% | 17\% | 54\% |
| Feb 2010 | 5\% | 16\% | 3\% | 3\% | 19\% | 54\% |

Strongly and somewhat favorable and strongly and somewhat unfavorable combined:

|  | Favorable | Unfavorable | Undecided | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ED RENDELL |  |  |  |  |
| May 2010 | 41\% | 47\% | 8\% | 4\% |
| Mar 2010 | 41\% | 42\% | 13\% | 4\% |
| Feb 2010 | 41\% | 44\% | 10\% | 5\% |
| Jan 2010 | 42\% | 45\% | 9\% | 4\% |
| Oct 2009 | 37\% | 47\% | 9\% | 7\% |
| Aug 2009 | 32\% | 53\% | 10\% | 5\% |
| Jun 2009 | 42\% | 40\% | 11\% | 7\% |
| Mar 2009 | 45\% | 35\% | 15\% | 5\% |
| Feb 2009 | 42\% | 38\% | 13\% | 7\% |
| Aug 2007 | 47\% | 36\% | 12\% | 5\% |
| Jun 2007 | 48\% | 38\% | 10\% | 4\% |
| Feb 2007 | 53\% | 36\% | 8\% | 3\% |
| Oct 2006 | 54\% | 30\% | 12\% | 4\% |
| Sep 2006 | 52\% | 29\% | 16\% | 3\% |
| Aug 2006 | 49\% | 33\% | 14\% | 4\% |
| May 2006 | 48\% | 32\% | 16\% | 4\% |
| Feb 2006 | 41\% | 40\% | 14\% | 5\% |
| Nov 2005 | 39\% | 38\% | 18\% | 6\% |
| Sep 2005 | 42\% | 36\% | 16\% | 6\% |
| Jun 2005 | 41\% | 33\% | 17\% | 9\% |
| Mar 2005 | 48\% | 28\% | 18\% | 7\% |
| Aug 2004 | 46\% | 31\% | 17\% | 6\% |
| Feb 2004 | 40\% | 32\% | 15\% | 14\% |
| Nov 2003 | 46\% | 26\% | 21\% | 7\% |
| Apr 2003 | 50\% | 19\% | 17\% | 16\% |
| Oct 2002 | 50\% | 24\% | 18\% | 9\% |
| Sep 2002 | 43\% | 19\% | 25\% | 14\% |
| Jun 2002 | 40\% | 18\% | 26\% | 16\% |
| Oct 2001 | 30\% | 8\% | 13\% | 50\% |
| Apr 2001 | 29\% | 7\% | 11\% | 52\% |
| Jul 1999 | 30\% | 7\% | 9\% | 54\% |
| ARLEN SPECTER |  |  |  |  |
| May 2010 | 32\% | 47\% | 12\% | 9\% |
| Mar 2010 | 30\% | 45\% | 13\% | 12\% |
| Feb 2010 | 32\% | 45\% | 15\% | 8\% |


|  | Favorable | Unfavorable | Undecided | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARLEN SPECTER cont. |  |  |  |  |
| Jan 2010 | 35\% | 43\% | 13\% | 9\% |
| Oct 2009 | 28\% | 46\% | 13\% | 13\% |
| Aug 2009 | 35\% | 42\% | 13\% | 10\% |
| Jun 2009 | 31\% | 37\% | 17\% | 15\% |
| Mar 2009 | 48\% | 24\% | 14\% | 14\% |
| Feb 2009 | 42\% | 29\% | 15\% | 14\% |
| Jun 2007 | 42\% | 33\% | 16\% | 9\% |
| Oct 2004 | 45\% | 28\% | 17\% | 10\% |
| Aug 2004 | 39\% | 26\% | 24\% | 11\% |
| Mar 2004 | 41\% | 25\% | 23\% | 11\% |
| Feb 2004 | 41\% | 25\% | 13\% | 21\% |
| Nov 2003 | 46\% | 26\% | 17\% | 11\% |
| Apr 2003 | 46\% | 23\% | 14\% | 17\% |
| Sep 1998 | 57\% | 17\% | 19\% | 7\% |
| Jul 1998 | 57\% | 19\% | 15\% | 9\% |
| Mar 1998 | 53\% | 27\% | 15\% | 5\% |
| Oct 1997 | 52\% | 20\% | 18\% | 10\% |
| Apr 1995 | 32\% | 31\% | 23\% | 14\% |
| Oct 1992 | 34\% | 30\% | 26\% | 7\% |
| Apr 1992 | 55\% | 28\% | 17\% | 0\% |
| BOB CASEY JR. |  |  |  |  |
| May 2010 | 33\% | 20\% | 16\% | 31\% |
| Mar 2010 | 33\% | 21\% | 17\% | 29\% |
| Feb 2010 | 33\% | 18\% | 15\% | 34\% |
| Jan 2010 | 32\% | 20\% | 17\% | 31\% |
| Oct 2009 | 32\% | 21\% | 15\% | 32\% |
| Aug 2009 | 41\% | 18\% | 17\% | 24\% |
| Jun 2009 | 32\% | 17\% | 17\% | 34\% |
| Mar 2009 | 34\% | 16\% | 19\% | 31\% |
| Feb 2009 | 30\% | 17\% | 21\% | 32\% |
| Jun 2007 | 29\% | 22\% | 23\% | 26\% |
| Oct 2006 | 39\% | 29\% | 16\% | 16\% |
| Sep 2006 | 29\% | 22\% | 22\% | 27\% |
| Aug 2006 | 31\% | 20\% | 18\% | 31\% |
| May 2006 | 30\% | 13\% | 20\% | 37\% |
| Feb 2006 | 30\% | 8\% | 16\% | 45\% |
| Nov 2005 | 30\% | 9\% | 20\% | 40\% |
| Sep 2005 | 32\% | 7\% | 22\% | 39\% |
| Jun 2005 | 40\% | 9\% | 15\% | 36\% |
| Mar 2005 | 33\% | 10\% | 19\% | 38\% |
| Oct 2001 | 28\% | 7\% | 22\% | 43\% |
| Apr 2001 | 33\% | 9\% | 22\% | 36\% |
| Jun 1999 | 29\% | 11\% | 17\% | 44\% |
| BARACK OBAMA |  |  |  |  |
| May 2010 | 44\% | 46\% | 10\% | 0\% |
| Mar 2010 | 42\% | 46\% | 11\% | 1\% |
| Feb 2010 | 49\% | 39\% | 11\% | 1\% |
| Jan 2010 | 44\% | 44\% | 10\% | 2\% |
| Oct 2009 | 45\% | 39\% | 13\% | 3\% |
| Aug 2009 | 55\% | 37\% | 7\% | 1\% |
| Jun 2009 | 56\% | 27\% | 14\% | 3\% |


|  | Favorable | Unfavorable | Undecided | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BARACK OBAMA cont. |  |  |  |  |
| Mar 2009 | 59\% | 21\% | 18\% | 2\% |
| Feb 2009 | 56\% | 23\% | 17\% | 4\% |
| Oct 2008 | 53\% | 33\% | 12\% | 3\% |
| Sep 2008 | 49\% | 30\% | 17\% | 3\% |
| Aug 2008 | 43\% | 29\% | 23\% | 5\% |
| Feb 2008 | 46\% | 27\% | 21\% | 6\% |
| Jan 2008 | 41\% | 27\% | 23\% | 9\% |
| Aug 2007 | 37\% | 21\% | 23\% | 19\% |
| Jun 2007 | 32\% | 21\% | 20\% | 27\% |
| Feb 2007 | 31\% | 15\% | 20\% | 34\% |
| JOE SESTAK |  |  |  |  |
| May 2010 | 17\% | 12\% | 16\% | 55\% |
| Mar 2010 | 11\% | 6\% | 10\% | 73\% |
| Feb 2010 | 10\% | 3\% | 10\% | 77\% |
| Jan 2010 | 8\% | 6\% | 10\% | 76\% |
| Oct 2009 | 11\% | 5\% | 7\% | 77\% |
| Aug 2009 | 13\% | 4\% | 10\% | 73\% |
| PAT TOOMEY |  |  |  |  |
| May 2010 | 16\% | 9\% | 15\% | 60\% |
| Mar 2010 | 18\% | 10\% | 13\% | 59\% |
| Feb 2010 | 16\% | 7\% | 15\% | 62\% |
| Jan 2010 | 15\% | 7\% | 13\% | 65\% |
| Oct 2009 | 16\% | 10\% | 10\% | 64\% |
| Aug 2009 | 18\% | 10\% | 9\% | 63\% |
| TOM CORBETT |  |  |  |  |
| May 2010 | 24\% | 10\% | 17\% | 49\% |
| Mar 2010 | 22\% | 7\% | 17\% | 54\% |
| Feb 2010 | 21\% | 6\% | 19\% | 54\% |

Vote_Nov. Many people will vote in the mid-term election in November, however, many other people will not. What would you say are the chances you will vote in the November election? Are you certain to vote, will you probably vote, are the chances fifty-fifty you will vote, OR don't you think that you will vote in the November election? (875 registered respondents)

|  | Certain to <br> vote | Will <br> probably <br> vote | Chances <br> $\mathbf{5 0 - 5 0}$ will <br> vote | Don't think <br> will vote | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| Mar 2010 | $66 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Feb 2010 | $65 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Jan 2010 | $66 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

Pol_Int. Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you are...in the 2010 elections? (875 registered respondents)

|  | Very much <br> interested | Somewhat <br> interested | Not very <br> interested | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| Mar 2010 | $43 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Feb 2010 | $41 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Jan 2010 | $45 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

Prim. When it comes to primary elections, do you always vote, do you usually vote, do you only sometimes vote, or do you rarely vote?

|  | Always | Usually | Sometimes | Rarely | Don't know |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| Apr 2008 | $55 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Mar 2008 | $53 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

DemPrim. If the 2010 Democratic primary election for U.S. SENATOR were being held today and the candidates included (rotated) Arlen Specter and Joe Sestak, would you vote for Arlen Specter, Joe Sestak, or aren't you sure how you would vote? (404 registered Democrats)

|  | Arlen <br> Specter | Joe <br> Sestak | Other | Don't <br> know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ |
| Mar 2010 | $32 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Feb 2010 | $33 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| Jan 2010 | $30 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Oct 2009 | $30 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $37 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| Jun 2009 | $33 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $48 \%$ |

CertDP. Are you absolutely CERTAIN you will vote FOR [fill DemSen] in the election, or are you still making up your mind? (269 registered Democrats with vote choice)

```
74% Certain to vote
26% Still making up mind
0% Do not know
```

LeanDP. As of today, do you lean more to (rotated) Arlen Specter, Joe Sestak, or are you leaning toward some other candidate? (127 registered undecided Democrats)

| $19 \%$ | Arlen Specter |
| :---: | :--- |
| $13 \%$ | Joe Sestak |
| $9 \%$ | Some other candidate |
| $59 \%$ | Do not know |

RepPrim2. If the 2010 Republican primary election for U.S. SENATOR were being held today and the candidates included (rotated) Pat Toomey and Peg Luksik, would you vote for Pat Toomey, Peg Luksik, or aren't you sure how you would vote? ( 321 registered Republicans)

|  | Pat Toomey | Peg Luksik | Other | Don't Know |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 \%}$ |
| Mar 2010 | $30 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $64 \%$ |

CertRP2. Are you absolutely CERTAIN you will vote FOR [fill RepSen] in the election, or are you still making up your mind? (94 registered Republicans with vote choice)

| 66\% | Certain to vote |
| :---: | :--- |
| $34 \%$ | Still making up mind |
| $0 \%$ | Do not know |

LeanRP2. As of today, do you lean more to (rotated) Pat Toomey, Peg Luksik, or are you leaning toward some other candidate? (221 registered undecided Republicans)

| $11 \%$ | Pat Toomey |
| :---: | :--- |
| $2 \%$ | Peg Luksik |
| $10 \%$ | Some other candidate |
| $77 \%$ | Do not know |

SenSpT. If the 2010 election for U.S. SENATOR were being held today and the candidates included (rotated) Arlen Specter, the Democrat, and Pat Toomey, the Republican, would you vote for Arlen Specter, Pat Toomey, some other candidate, or aren't you sure how you would vote? (875 registered respondents)

|  | Arlen <br> Specter | Pat <br> Toomey | Other | Don't <br> know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ |
| Mar 2010 | $29 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Feb 2010 | $33 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Jan 2010 | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Ott 2009 | $33 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $37 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Mar 2009 | $33 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $42 \%$ |

SenSeT. If the 2010 election for U.S. SENATOR were being held today and the candidates included (rotated) Joe Sestak, the Democrat, and Pat Toomey, the Republican, would you vote for Joe Sestak, Pat Toomey, some other candidate, or aren't you sure how you would vote? (875 registered respondents)

|  | Pat <br> Toomey | Joe <br> Sestak | Other | Don't <br> know |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ |
| Mar 2010 | $27 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Feb 2010 | $25 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| Jan 2010 | $28 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Oct 2009 | $28 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $26 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $46 \%$ |

Iss_Sen. What will be the single MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE in your vote for U.S. Senate this year? (875 registered respondents)

| Jan <br> 2010 | Feb <br> 2010 | Mar <br> 2010 | May <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $24 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $26 \%$ | Economic issues, employment, bailout |
| $29 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $12 \%$ | Healthcare |
| $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $7 \%$ | Taxes |
| $3 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ | Reduce spending, debt, pass budget |
| $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | Education issues |
| $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | Political party, ideology, switched parties |
| $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | Defense, safety of nation, war, terrorism |
| $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | Abortion stance |
| $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | Personality, morality, values |
| $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | Reduce the size of government |
| $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | Representative of the people |
| $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | Dissatisfied with representative, time for a change |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | Immigration |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | Views on policy issues |
| $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | Senior issues |
| $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $5 \%$ | Other |
| $17 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $20 \%$ | Don't know |

DemPrim2. If the 2010 Democratic primary election for GOVERNOR were being held today and the candidates included (rotated) Dan Onorato, Jack Wagner, Anthony Williams, and Joe Hoeffel, would you vote for Dan Onorato, Jack Wagner, Anthony Williams, Joe Hoeffel, or aren't you sure how you would vote? (404 registered Democrats)

|  | Dan <br> Onorato | Jack <br> Wagner | Joe <br> Hoeffel | Anthony <br> Williams | Other | Don't <br> know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 \%}$ |
| Mar 2010 | $11 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Feb 2010 | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Jan 2010 | $10 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | - | $10 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Oct 2009 | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $6 \%$ | - | $9 \%$ | $66 \%$ |

CertDP2. Are you absolutely CERTAIN you will vote FOR [fill DemGov] in the election, or are you still making up your mind? (163 registered Democrats with vote choice)

| $57 \%$ | Certain to vote |
| :---: | :--- |
| $43 \%$ | Still making up mind |
| $0 \%$ | Do not know |

LeanDP2. As of today, do you lean more to (rotated) Dan Onorato, Jack Wagner, Anthony Williams, Joe Hoeffel, or are you leaning toward some other candidate? (229 registered undecided Democrats)

| $9 \%$ | Dan Onorato |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2 \%$ | Jack Wagner |
| $2 \%$ | Anthony Williams |
| $4 \%$ | Joe Hoeffel |
| $8 \%$ | Some other candidate |
| $75 \%$ | Do not know |

RepPrim. If the 2010 Republican primary election for GOVERNOR were being held today and the candidates included (rotated) Tom Corbett and Sam Rohrer, would you vote for Tom Corbett, Sam Rohrer, or aren't you sure how you would vote? (321 registered Republicans)

|  | Tom <br> Corbett | Sam <br> Rohrer | Other | Don't <br> know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ |
| Mar 2010 | $28 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| Feb 2010 | $26 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Jan 2010 | $23 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $69 \%$ |

CertRP. Are you absolutely CERTAIN you will vote FOR [fill RepGov] in the election, or are you still making up your mind? (127 registered Republicans with vote choice)

```
53% Certain to vote
47% Still making up mind
0% Do not know
```

LeanRP. As of today, do you lean more to (rotated) Tom Corbett, Sam Rohrer, or are you leaning toward some other candidate? (191 registered undecided Democrats)

| $16 \%$ | Tom Corbett |
| :---: | :--- |
| $5 \%$ | Sam Rohrer |
| $12 \%$ | Some other candidate |
| $67 \%$ | Do not know |

Iss_Gov. What will be the single MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE in your vote for governor this year? (875 registered respondents)

| Jan <br> 2010 | Feb | Mar | May |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $26 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $21 \%$ | Economic issues, employment |
| $13 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $16 \%$ | Taxes |
| $8 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $15 \%$ | Reduce spending, debt, pass budget |
| $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | Education issues |
| $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ | Healthcare |
| $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | Personality, morality, values |
| $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | Political party, ideology |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | Views on policy issues |
| $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | Abortion stance |
| $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | Dissatisfied with representative, time for a change |
| $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | Representative of the people |
| $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | Everything, nothing |
| $11 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ | Other |
| $23 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $19 \%$ | Don't know |

Q20. If the 2010 elections for the United States House of Representatives were being held today, would you vote for the Republican Party's candidate or the Democratic Party's candidate for the House in your district? ( 875 registered respondents)

|  | Democratic <br> Party's <br> Candidate | Republican <br> Party's <br> Candidate | Other <br> Candidate | Don't <br> know |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ | $39 \%$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ |
| Oct 2009 | $37 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $27 \%$ |

RatePres. How would you rate the way that Barack Obama is handling his job as president? (875 registered respondents)

|  | Excellent <br> job | Good <br> job | Only a <br> fair job | Poor <br> job | Don't <br> know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| Mar 2010 | $12 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Feb 2010 | $12 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Jan 2010 | $11 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Oct 2009 | $17 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $14 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Jun 2009 | $20 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Mar 2009 | $23 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Feb 2009 | $25 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $9 \%$ |

RateSenS. How would you rate the way that ARLEN SPECTER is handling his job as U.S. SENATOR? (875 registered respondents)

|  | Excellent <br> job | Good <br> job | Only a <br> fair job | Poor <br> job | Don't <br> know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | 5\% | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ |
| Mar 2010 | $5 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Feb 2010 | $5 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Jan 2010 | $5 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Oct 2009 | $4 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $8 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Jun 2009 | $10 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Mar 2009 | $13 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Feb 2009 | $8 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Aug 2007 | $15 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Feb 2004 | $5 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Nov 2003 | $7 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Apr 2003 | $11 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Sep 1998 | $7 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Jul 1998 | $10 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Oct 1997 | $12 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Apr 1992 | $5 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Oct 1991 | $11 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $9 \%$ | -- |

DesRECD. Do you believe that ARLEN SPECTER has done a good enough job as SENATOR to deserve re-election, or do you believe it is time for a change? (875 registered respondents)

|  | Deserves <br> re-election | Time for <br> a change | Don't <br> know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ |
| Mar 2010 | $28 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Feb 2010 | $25 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Jan 2010 | $29 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Oct 2009 | $23 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $34 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Jun 2009 | $28 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Mar 2009 | $40 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Oct 1997 | $49 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $11 \%$ |

WhyChg. Why do you think it is time for a change? (567 registered respondents who answered "time for a change" in DesRECD) (Percentages total more than 100\% because multiple responses were accepted.)

| Jan <br> 2010 | Feb | Mar | May |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 2010 | 2010 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |  |
| $21 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $33 \%$ | Political party, ideology, switched parties |
| $25 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $22 \%$ | Should be term limits, Specter served too long |
| $13 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $12 \%$ | Dissatisfied with Specter, time for a change |
| $14 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | Not representative of the people |
| $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $10 \%$ | Physical attributes (including age, gender, health) |
| $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $9 \%$ | Past performance, track record |
| $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $8 \%$ | Personality, morality, values |
| $6 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | Doesn't follow through, wishy-washy |
| $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | Views on policy issues, in general |
| $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | Economic issues, employment |
| $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | Anti-Obama administration, votes with Obama, healthcare |
| $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | Reduce spending, debt, pass budget |
| $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $8 \%$ | Other |
| $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ | Don't know |

Tea1. Have you read, seen, or heard anything about the "tea party movement", or not?

|  | Yes | No | Don't know |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |
| Feb 2010 | $62 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

nTea2a. Have you donated any money to any organization that is associated with the tea party movement? (639 respondents aware of "tea party movement")

| $3 \%$ | Yes |
| :---: | :--- |
| $96 \%$ | No |
| $1 \%$ | Do not know |

nTea2b. Have you attended a rally or meeting held by any organization that is associated with the tea party movement? (639 respondents aware of "tea party movement")

| $4 \%$ | Yes |
| :---: | :--- |
| $95 \%$ | No |
| 1\% | Do not know |

Tea3. Do you generally support or oppose the tea party movement? (639 respondents aware of "tea party movement")

|  | Strongly <br> Support | Somewhat <br> support | Somewhat <br> oppose | Strongly <br> oppose | Don't know |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ |
| Feb 2010 | $19 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $32 \%$ |

Tea4. How likely would you be to vote for a candidate who supports the tea party movement's goals? (639 respondents aware of "tea party movement")

|  | Very <br> likely | Somewhat <br> likely | Not very <br> likely | Not at all <br> likely | Don't know |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $21 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Feb 2010 | $19 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $21 \%$ |

Now I'd like to ask you about some issues currently being discussed in the state.

Roads. The state legislature is holding a special session in May to find ways to provide additional funding for road and bridge repairs. Would you favor or oppose each of the following as a way for the state to generate money for road and bridge repairs.

|  | Strongly favor | Somewhat favor | Somewhat oppose | Strongly oppose | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Increasing the state's vehicle registration fee |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 2010 | 11\% | 26\% | 16\% | 44\% | 3\% |
| Leasing the Pennsylvania Turnpike to a private company |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 2010 | 12\% | 17\% | 17\% | 43\% | 11\% |
| June 2007 | 15\% | 32\% | 14\% | 26\% | 13\% |
| Feb 2007 | 15\% | 27\% | 16\% | 28\% | 14\% |
| Collecting tolls on interstate $\mathbf{8 0}$ to raise money for state highways and bridge improvements |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 2010 | 5\% | 10\% | 11\% | 73\% | 1\% |
| Increasing taxes on companies that extract and sell natural gas |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 2010 | 24\% | 21\% | 17\% | 27\% | 11\% |
| Mar 2010 | 19\% | 16\% | 21\% | 28\% | 16\% |
| Feb 2009 | 17\% | 19\% | 25\% | 27\% | 12\% |

Q21. Some people believe Pennsylvania should get out of the business of selling wine and spirits and are talking about selling the state's liquor stores to private companies. Do you support or oppose the state of Pennsylvania selling the state-owned liquor stores to private companies?

|  | Strongly <br> support | Somewhat <br> support | Somewhat <br> oppose | Strongly <br> oppose | Do not <br> know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $35 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| June 2002 | $42 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $15 \%$ |

Judges. Pennsylvania currently selects JUDGES for its highest courts through direct ELECTIONS. Some people have PROPOSED switching to a system where these judges would be APPOINTED instead of elected. In this system, a nominating commission would generate a list of qualified candidates. The Governor would choose a name from the list and that person would have to be confirmed by the Senate.
Would you FAVOR or OPPOSE amending Pennsylvania's Constitution from a system of elected judges to a system of APPOINTED JUDGES?

|  | Strongly <br> favor | Somewhat <br> favor | Somewhat <br> oppose | Strongly <br> oppose | Do not <br> know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |
| Feb 2007 | $13 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $6 \%$ |

Legal_MJ. Do you think the use of marijuana should be made legal, or not?

|  | Yes | No | Do not <br> know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $33 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| May 2006 | $22 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $6 \%$ |

FO_MJ. Generally speaking...do you favor or oppose allowing adults to legally use marijuana for medical purposes if a doctor recommends it?

|  | Strongly <br> favor | Somewhat <br> favor | Somewhat <br> oppose | Strongly <br> oppose | Do not <br> know |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $53 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ | $3 \%$ |
| May 2006 | $44 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

I now have a final few questions for statistical purposes only.
CNTY. Region of state (What is the name of the county you live in?)

| $27 \%$ | Central |
| :---: | :--- |
| $19 \%$ | Southeast |
| $13 \%$ | Northeast |
| $12 \%$ | Southwest |
| $12 \%$ | Philadelphia |
| $9 \%$ | Northwest |
| $8 \%$ | Allegheny |

RESD. How many years have you lived at your current residence?

### 15.8 Mean

AGE. What was your age on your last birthday?

| $8 \%$ | $18-24$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $20 \%$ | $25-34$ |
| $13 \%$ | $35-44$ |
| $24 \%$ | $45-54$ |
| $14 \%$ | $55-64$ |
| $21 \%$ | 65 and older |

EDUC. What was the highest grade level of schooling you have completed?
7\% Non high school graduate
45\% High school graduate or GED
12\% Some college
10\% Two-year or tech degree
15\% Four year college degree
11\% Post graduate degree

MAR. What is your CURRENT marital status, are you single, married, separated, divorced, or a widower?

21\% Single, Never Married<br>55\% Married<br>2\% Separated<br>11\% Divorced<br>11\% Widow or widower

IDEO. Politically speaking, do you consider yourself to be a liberal, a moderate, or a conservative?

|  | Liberal | Moderate | Conservative | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | 19\% | 32\% | 40\% | 9\% |
| Mar 2010 | 17\% | 35\% | 40\% | 8\% |
| Feb 2010 | 21\% | 33\% | 37\% | 9\% |
| Jan 2010 | 19\% | 30\% | 42\% | 9\% |
| Oct 2009 | 16\% | 36\% | 39\% | 9\% |
| Aug 2009 | 16\% | 36\% | 43\% | 5\% |
| Jun 2009 | 19\% | 34\% | 37\% | 10\% |
| Feb 2008 | 20\% | 41\% | 35\% | 4\% |
| Jan 2008 | 18\% | 40\% | 38\% | 4\% |
| Aug 2007 | 21\% | 44\% | 31\% | 4\% |
| Jun 2007 | 19\% | 42\% | 35\% | 4\% |
| Feb 2007 | 21\% | 41\% | 32\% | 6\% |
| Oct 2006 | 17\% | 42\% | 35\% | 6\% |
| Sep 2006 | 20\% | 39\% | 36\% | 5\% |
| Aug 2006 | 19\% | 38\% | 38\% | 5\% |
| May 2006 | 16\% | 43\% | 35\% | 6\% |
| Feb 2006 | 21\% | 41\% | 34\% | 4\% |
| Nov 2005 | 17\% | 42\% | 35\% | 7\% |
| Sep 2005 | 22\% | 38\% | 35\% | 5\% |
| Jun 2005* | 19\% | 42\% | 33\% | 7\% |
| Mar 2005* | 16\% | 40\% | 38\% | 6\% |
| Oct 2004 | 16\% | 39\% | 38\% | 7\% |
| Sep 2004 | 16\% | 52\% | 29\% | 4\% |
| Aug 2004 | 15\% | 48\% | 33\% | 3\% |
| Mar 2004 | 16\% | 44\% | 34\% | 6\% |
| Feb 2004 | 20\% | 41\% | 33\% | 7\% |
| Nov 2003 | 21\% | 42\% | 31\% | 7\% |
| Apr 2003* | 19\% | 41\% | 35\% | 5\% |
| Oct 2002 | 16\% | 41\% | 35\% | 8\% |
| Sep 2002 | 18\% | 41\% | 35\% | 6\% |
| Jun 2002 | 19\% | 43\% | 33\% | 5\% |
| Oct 2001 | 20\% | 38\% | 36\% | 6\% |
| Apr 2001 | 19\% | 36\% | 35\% | 9\% |
| Oct 2000 | 22\% | 37\% | 33\% | 8\% |
| Feb 2000 | 19\% | 44\% | 37\% | 0\% |
| Jul 1999 | 18\% | 37\% | 39\% | 6\% |

*Question asked of all respondents regardless of registration status

PRTY1. Regardless of how you are registered, in politics, as of today, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, or an Independent?

| $15 \%$ | Strong Republican |
| :---: | :--- |
| $15 \%$ | Republican |
| $12 \%$ | Lean Republican |
| $11 \%$ | Pure Independent |
| $14 \%$ | Lean Democrat |
| $11 \%$ | Democrat |
| $20 \%$ | Strong Democrat |
| $0 \%$ | Other |
| $2 \%$ | Don't know |

LABR. Are you or is any member of your household a member of a LABOR UNION?
18\% Yes
82\% No

VET. Are you a military veteran?

| $13 \%$ | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| $87 \%$ | No |

Hisp. Are you Hispanic or Latino, or not?

| $2 \%$ | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| $98 \%$ | No |

RACE. Which of the following categories best describes your racial background?

| $86 \%$ | White |
| :--- | :--- |
| $14 \%$ | Non-white |

ABORT. Do you think that abortion should be...

|  | Legal under any circumstances | Legal under certain circumstances | Illegal in all circumstances | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | 21\% | 58\% | 19\% | 2\% |
| Mar 2010 | 19\% | 56\% | 23\% | 2\% |
| Feb 2010 | 19\% | 57\% | 22\% | 2\% |
| Jan 2010 | 23\% | 50\% | 24\% | 3\% |
| Oct 2009 | 20\% | 54\% | 23\% | 3\% |
| Aug 2009 | 21\% | 54\% | 23\% | 2\% |
| Jun 2009 | 18\% | 58\% | 22\% | 2\% |
| Aug 2007 | 22\% | 56\% | 21\% | 1\% |
| Jun 2007 | 21\% | 58\% | 20\% | 1\% |
| Feb 2007 | 20\% | 53\% | 26\% | 1\% |
| Oct 2006* | 17\% | 62\% | 18\% | 3\% |
| Sep 2006* | 22\% | 54\% | 22\% | 2\% |
| Aug 2006* | 23\% | 56\% | 19\% | 2\% |
| May 2006* | 19\% | 65\% | 14\% | 2\% |
| Feb 2006 | 23\% | 57\% | 17\% | 3\% |
| Nov 2005 | 23\% | 55\% | 19\% | 3\% |
| Sep 2005 | 24\% | 54\% | 19\% | 3\% |
| Jun 2005 | 22\% | 55\% | 20\% | 4\% |
| Mar 2005 | 18\% | 54\% | 25\% | 3\% |
| Oct 2004* | 18\% | 61\% | 19\% | 2\% |
| Sep 2004* | 22\% | 55\% | 22\% | 1\% |
| Aug 2004* | 20\% | 61\% | 18\% | 1\% |
| Mar 2004* | 16\% | 58\% | 24\% | 3\% |
| Feb 2004 | 22\% | 54\% | 20\% | 4\% |
| Nov 2003 | 23\% | 52\% | 21\% | 5\% |
| Apr 2003* | 22\% | 56\% | 21\% | 2\% |
| Oct 2002* | 29\% | 51\% | 17\% | 3\% |
| Sep 2002* | 26\% | 51\% | 19\% | 4\% |
| Jun 2002* | 26\% | 56\% | 15\% | 3\% |
| Oct 2001* | 25\% | 52\% | 20\% | 3\% |
| Apr 2001* | 25\% | 53\% | 18\% | 3\% |
| Oct 2000* | 26\% | 53\% | 15\% | 6\% |
| Feb 2000* | 23\% | 53\% | 20\% | 4\% |
| Jul 1999 | 26\% | 54\% | 17\% | 3\% |

*Question asked of registered respondents only

REL. Do you consider yourself to be Protestant, Catholic, some other religion, or not affiliated with any religion?

| $38 \%$ | Protestant |
| :--- | :--- |
| $27 \%$ | Catholic |
| $20 \%$ | Some other religion |
| $15 \%$ | Not affiliated with any religion |

BAC. Do you consider yourself to be a born-again Christian or fundamentalist, or not?

| $32 \%$ | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| $66 \%$ | No |
| $2 \%$ | Do not know |

Bible. Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible?

|  | The Bible is the actual word <br> of God and is to be taken <br> literally, word for <br> word. | The Bible is the inspired <br> word of God but not <br> everything in it should be <br> taken literally, word for <br> word. | The Bible is an ancient book <br> of fables, legends, history, <br> and moral <br> precepts recorded by men. | Don't <br> know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 2010 | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ | $4 \%$ |
| Mar 2010 | $29 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Feb 2010 | $30 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Jan 2010 | $34 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Oct 2009 | $33 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $32 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Jun 2009 | $25 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Feb 2006 | $27 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Nov 2005 | $25 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Sep 2004* | $26 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Aug 2004** | $26 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

*Question asked of registered respondents only

NumA. Including yourself, how many adults 18 years of age or OLDER CURRENTLY live in this household?

| $28 \%$ | One |
| :---: | :--- |
| $52 \%$ | Two |
| $13 \%$ | Three |
| $7 \%$ | Four or more |

WORK. Are you currently working FULL-time, PART-time, going to school, keeping house or something else?

49\% Full-time
9\% Part-time
4\% Going to school
7\% Keeping house
6\% Unemployed
5\% Disabled
20\% Retired

INC1. And, just for statistical purposes, we need to know if your total family income is above or below \$50,000 per year?

| $20 \%$ | Under \$25,000 |
| :---: | :--- |
| $15 \%$ | $\$ 25-\$ 35,000$ |
| $13 \%$ | $\$ 35-50,000$ |
| $18 \%$ | $\$ 50-75,000$ |
| $15 \%$ | $\$ 75-100,000$ |
| $16 \%$ | Over \$100,000 |
| $3 \%$ | Don't know |

DONE. Sex of respondent:
48\% Female
52\% Male

